



**Drug Court Advisory Committee Meeting  
Via Webinar  
Friday, January 14, 2011  
12:00 pm to 2:00 pm**

**Meeting Minutes**

The Drug Court Advisory Committee (DCAC) began its meeting without a quorum present at 12 noon on Friday, January 14, 2010, the Honorable Cristina Jaramillo presiding.

Attending were:

The Honorable Cristina Jaramillo, Bernalillo County Metropolitan Court  
The Honorable Monica Zamora, Second Judicial District  
The Honorable Sandra Price, Eleventh Judicial District  
Cynthia Ferrari, Thirteenth Judicial District  
Lupe Sanchez, First Judicial District  
Peter Bochert, Administrative Office of the Courts

**Welcome New Members**

Judge Jaramillo welcomed the committee's two new members, Judge Sandra Price from the Eleventh Judicial District and Lupe Sanchez from the First Judicial District. Judge Jaramillo also related that she understood Governor Martinez would not appoint a new Director of the Public Defenders Department until after the legislative session, and that Hugh Dangler had been asked to stay on as Director until such an appointment was made.

**Approval of 12/17/10 Meeting Minutes**

None of those attending had any changes or additions to the minutes from the 12/17/10 meeting. As a quorum was not present, a vote to approve the minutes was deferred to the next meeting of the committee. Peter noted that we had not had a quorum at the prior meeting either, so the minutes from the 11/19/10 meeting were still pending formal approval, as well.

**Drug Court Standards**

Review of Section G:

As the subject of section G is confidentiality, Peter informed the committee of a recent discussion he had with JID regarding new FACTS and Odyssey event codes that would allow a person's participation in drug court to be available to the public via case lookup. Several members were concerned about public availability of what they considered confidential information. Cynthia Ferrari said she would forward a document she had



about confidentiality and drug courts to Peter. Peter was also asked to get direction from the Supreme Court and the AOC.

The committee continued its review of section G, picking up where it left off last meeting, with G.14. After some discussion whether G.14 needed to be broadened, the committee determined it was fine as written, as were the remaining subsections of section G.

The committee reviewed section H, up through the definition of the data fields necessary to the minimum data set (H.7). Peter was asked if the central server at JID contained identifying information. Peter said he would followup as given the new database upload process he did not know what server the data landed on and if that data was purged on a periodic basis. Members discussed and made a number of changes to the minimum data set. Cynthia Ferrari pointed out that the changes would have to be programmed into the drug court database and asked how the programming would be paid for. Peter indicated that although the AOC had paid for the original programming to incorporate the minimum data set into the drug court database, the AOC did not have the money for more such programming. The committee discussed how to proceed and decided that their changes would need to wait until funding was available to implement them. (The changes discussed to H.7 are contained in a separate attachment, so as to differentiate them from the changes agreed to in the rest of the Standards.)

Judge Jaramillo indicated the committee would pick up with H.8 at its next meeting.

(All of the changes agreed to in Sections G.14 through H.6 (as well as for Sections A through G.13) are in the attached Standards document, with committee revisions in blue.)

### **Miscellaneous**

“Transfer” Rule Update: Peter informed the committee that there were three versions of the transfer rule, one for district, metro, and magistrate courts. They were each approved last year by the appropriate rules committee. Judge Jaramillo asked Peter to send her a copy of the metro court version of the rule.

### **Next Meeting Date**

The next meeting was set for Friday, February 18, from 12 noon to 2 pm, via webinar.

### **Adjourn**

There being no further business, the meeting attendees agreed to adjourn at approximately 1:50 pm.